

SUJA A. THOMAS
University of Cincinnati College of Law
P.O. Box 210040
Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0040
(513) 556-0027
suja.thomas@uc.edu

CURRENT POSITION

University of Cincinnati College of Law

Professor, September 2005 to present.

Associate Professor, September 2003 to August 2005.

Assistant Professor, June 2000 to August 2003.

Teaching/Research: Civil Procedure, Evidence, Employment Discrimination, Judicial Decision-making and the Role of the Jury, Sports and the Law.

Award/Honors: Harold C. Schott Scholarship Award (2007), Goldman Prize for teaching excellence (2003), selected by graduates to award hoods (2007, 2006, 2003).

Law School Committees: Academic Policy and Curriculum, Appointments, Committee on Committees (elected), Honor Council, Moot Court (advisor), Professional Development (chair), Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure (elected), Research and Development.

EDUCATION

New York University School of Law. Juris Doctorate, May, 1991.

Articles Editor, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW.

Mendes Hershman Prize for excellence in writing in the field of property law.

William Miller Memorial Award for outstanding scholarship in field of municipal law.

Leonard M. Henkin Prize for note on equal rights portion of 14th Amendment.

Northwestern University. Bachelor of Arts in Mathematics, June, 1988.

Kappa Alpha Pi Honor Society.

PUBLICATIONS

The PSLRA's Seventh Amendment Problem (working paper 2007).

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=968893

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, 93 VA. L. REV. 139 (2007).

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=886363

Judicial Modesty and the Jury, 76 U. COLO. L. REV. 767 (2005).

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=796774

The Seventh Amendment, Modern Procedure and the English Common Law, 82 WASH. U. L.Q. 687 (2004).

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=525442

Re-examining the Constitutionality of Remittitur Under the Seventh Amendment, 64 OHIO ST. L.J. 731 (2003).

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=467342

Note, *Efforts to Integrate Housing: The Legality of Mortgage-Incentive Programs*, 66 N.Y.U. L. REV. 940 (1991).

PRESENTATIONS

Why the Motion to Dismiss Could be Unconstitutional, University of Cincinnati College of Law Faculty Scholarship Workshop, May 2007.

The PSLRA's Seventh Amendment Problem, The Ohio State University College of Law, April 2007.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, Massachusetts Employment Lawyers' Association, Boston, Massachusetts, March 2007.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, Seventh Circuit National Employment Lawyers' Association Conference, Chicago, Illinois, March 2007.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, University of Minnesota Law School, February 2007.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, Minnesota Employment Lawyers' Association, Minneapolis, Minnesota, February 2007.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, Metropolitan Washington Employment Lawyers' Association, Washington, D.C., January 2007.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, New York Law School, November 2006.

Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional, Labor & Employment Law Symposium, Cincinnati, Ohio, October 2006.

Recasting Originalism, William Mitchell College of Law, October 2006.

Why Summary Judgment and Remittitur are Unconstitutional, Cincinnati Employment Lawyers' Association, September 2006.

Recasting Originalism, University of Cincinnati College of Law Faculty Scholarship Workshop, June 2006.

Federal Tort Reform and the Seventh Amendment, AALS Section on Civil Procedure Program: The Civil Jury in the Shadow of Tort Reform, AALS Annual Meeting, January 2006.

The Great Published/Unpublished Debate, Sixth Circuit Practice Institute, October 2005.

Is Summary Judgment Unconstitutional?, University of Kansas School of Law, September 2005.

Is Summary Judgment Unconstitutional?, University of Cincinnati College of Law Faculty Scholarship Workshop, May 2005.

The Judiciary, Separation of Powers and Judicial Review, University of Cincinnati College of Law Faculty Scholarship Workshop, May 2004.

The Construction of the Seventh Amendment, Boston College Law School, April 2004.

Re-examining the Constitutionality of Summary Judgment Under the Seventh Amendment, University of Florida College of Law, April 2003.

Re-examining Remittitur, Chicago-Kent School of Law, April 2002.

The Reduction of Jury Verdicts, University of Cincinnati College of Law Faculty Scholarship Workshop, July 2001.

Teachlaw website, AALS Annual Meeting, January 2001.

PRESS

Adam Liptak, Cases Keep Flowing In, But the Jury Pool is Idle, *NEW YORK TIMES*, April 30, 2007 (referring to argument in *Why Summary Judgment is Unconstitutional* as “perfectly plausible”).

<http://select.nytimes.com/search/restricted/article?res=F50D14FA385A0C738FDDAD0894DF404482>

Suja A. Thomas, Summary Judgment: We Can Live Without It, *NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL*, April 10, 2006.

<http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1144414529615>

Suja A. Thomas, Studies of Drug Ratio Are Still Lacking, *NEW YORK TIMES*, July 4, 2004.

<http://select.nytimes.com/search/restricted/article?res=F40F1EF9345C0C778CDDAE0894DC404482>

OTHER LEGAL EXPERIENCE

Private Practice, New York, New York.

Attorney, June 1999 to May 2000.

Represented employees and athletes, including an athlete in an international arbitration. See James C. McKinley Jr., *A Track Star's Burden; Proving Innocence Puts Focus on Drug-Test Rulings*, N.Y. TIMES, Aug. 31, 1999, at D1 (article regarding the international arbitration).

Adjunct Professor, Fordham University School of Law, January 1999-December 1999.

Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP., New York, New York.

Associate, September 1997 to June 1999.

Litigated a variety of cases, including on behalf of the Major League Soccer Players Association, National Football League Players Association and individual athletes.

Vladeck, Waldman, Elias & Engelhard, P.C., New York, New York.

Associate, August 1994 to August 1997.

Represented employees in all areas of employment law, including discrimination.

Cravath, Swaine & Moore, New York, New York.

Associate, November 1992 to August 1994.

Represented major corporations in litigation involving antitrust, contract, patent and securities matters. Drafted Supreme Court briefs in *Landgraf v. USI Film Prods.*, 511 U.S. 244 (1994) (argued retroactivity of 1991 Civil Rights Act).

U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois.

Law Clerk for the Honorable John F. Grady, September 1991 to August 1992.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

Bar Admissions: New York
 Illinois
 U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York